UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,585	09/19/2003	Thomas E. Creamer	BOC9-2003-0024 (393)	6448
40987 AKERMAN SE	7590 04/14/200 ENTERFITT	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 318	8	DAILEY, THOMAS J		
WEST PALM I	BEACH, FL 33402-318	38	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2152	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/665,585	CREAMER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	THOMAS J. DAILEY	2152	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	and prior to the date of filing a brief	وط لومسوم وطعوم النب	
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belos) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the properties of the proposition of the properties	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>	See Continuation Sheet.		
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b)      will will     wi	•	_
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-12,14-20 and 23-31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2152			

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The applicant's entered amendment has overcome the 35 USC 112 second paragraph rejections directed at claims 14 and 15.

Further, the specification objection has been withdrawn in light of the entered amendment.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues with respect to claim 1 that it is not clear how one of ordinary skill in the art would obtain from a combination of Boukobza (US Pat. 6,122,664) and Putzolu (US Pat. 6,681, 243) the concept of responsively moving the ghost agent in accordance with the movement of the host and that it is against the purpose of Boukobza to move the ghost in accordance with the movement of the host.

The examiner disagrees. Putzolu discloses using mobile agents to diagnose, report, or correct network conditions (column 3, lines 59-61 and column 4, lines 17-23). That is to say, Boukobza discloses agents bound to software objects and Putzolu discloses software objects that are mobile. Therefore, Putzolu renders obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the mobility of both the monitoring agent and the software objects in Boukobza, due to the fact that if Boukobza software objects move, so to will Boukobza's agents as they are associated with the objects and log their actions. Such of modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to create a validation method that utilizes mobile agents which allow for a decentralization of the method and allow for more effective management of the network (Putzolu, column 2, line 64 - column 3, line 8).

The examiner additionally reminds the appliant the test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

In conclusion, the applicant's arguements been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Dailey whose telephone number is 571-270-1246. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday; 9:00am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on 571-272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.